

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,436	03/25/2004	Min-Chih Hsuan	JCLA12013	9790	
7:	590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
J.C. Patents, Inc.			ARORA	ARORA, AJAY	
Suite 250 4 Venture			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Irvine, CA 9261	2618		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			B
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,436	HSUAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay K. Arora	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. rance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 9-23 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a	rithdrawn from consideration	•	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/25/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the f			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies o	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Species I of Group 1 based on Figures 1A-1C, which support claims 1 and 3-8, in the reply filed on 7/21/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 1 reads on species I-III. This is not found persuasive because the multiple species I-III present additional burden on the examiner. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 depends on claim 1. Whereas claim 1 requires "bond pads being on said active surface". However, claim 8 contradicts this requirement by

Art Unit: 2811

requiring that the "active surface is a rectangle" and that bond pads are "outside of said rectangle".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meckes (US 7,061,098), hereinafter Meckes.

Regarding claim 1, Meckes (refer to Figures 1 and 5) discloses a chip package (1), comprising: a chip (2), having an active surface (5) and a plurality of bond pads (6), said bond pads being on said active surface; and a rigid cover (8/9), on said active surface (Col. 5, lines 44-46), said rigid cover exposing (Col. 5, lines 55-59) said plurality of bond pads (6) above said active surface.

Regarding claim 3, Meckes (refer to Figures 1 and 5) teaches that said rigid cover (8/9) is adhered to said active surface (4).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,436 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 4, Meckes (refer to Figures 1 and 5) teaches that said rigid cover (8/9) having a periphery (see 10 in Figure 5) adhered to said active surface (4).

Regarding claim 5, Meckes teaches that the material of said rigid cover (8/9) includes an insulating material (Col. 5, lines 44-46).

Regarding claim 6, Meckes (refer to Figure 1) teaches the chip package further comprising a plurality of contacts (14) on said plurality of bond pads (6) respectively, the heights of said contacts (14) relative to said active surface (4) are larger than the height of said rigid cover (8/9) relative to said active surface.

Regarding claim 7, Meckes (refer to Figure 1) teaches that the plurality of bond pads is disposed on the circumference of said active surface. Note that the claim does not require the said active surface to be circular. Hence, the word "circumference" is being interpretted as the peripheral region of the said active surface.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meckes, in view of Nishiguchi (JP 404024928A)), hereinafter Nishiguchi.

Regarding claim 8, Meckes (refer to Figures 1 and 5) teaches an active surface area (5) and that the said plurality of bond pads are disposed on one side outside of said active surface area. However, Meckes does not specifically teach that the active surface area is "a rectangle". Nishiguchi teaches a chip with a rectangular active surface area (see English abstract, 1<sup>st</sup> sentence under the heading "Constituion"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Meckes so that the said active surface is a rectangle. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Meckes for at least the purpose of keeping dicing line at a constant distance from the active area for chips that are rectangular in shape.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay K. Arora whose telephone number is (571) 272-8347. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon through Fri, 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,436 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800